in Sync for life



Offence

Charged

Remanded

Custody

Bail surety Legal agreement

Court

enter Plea - Guilty / Not Guilty

Convicted

Sent for Sentencing

Sent for Trial

Custody / Bail continued

Guilty Convicted

Court Sentencing
Custodial / non-custodial

in Sync for life



Sentencing options

Custodial

Community Order

Suspended Imprisonment Order

Intensive Supervision Order

Community Based Order

Fine (pecuniary)

Conditional Release Order

Drug Court

in Sync or life



Offence types Broad description

Generalist

Substance, traffic Property (burglary, stealing) Nuisance, fraud, breach

Violence

Common assault
AOBH
GBH
APO

Sexual

Child Adult CEM

Firesetting

- Criminal damage by fire
- Wilfully Lit Fire Likely to Injure or Damage
- Failed to Reasonably Ensure that Fire/ignition Source did/would not Cause a Fire that could not be Controlled

in Sync for life



Most common...

Violence offences

Excluding sexual

Common assault

Aggravated > 60
Child present Racial
domestic pregnant

Assault Occasioning Bodily Harm

Bodily injury

Unlawful Wounding

Wounding – bleeding Penetrative wound

Grievous Bodily Harm

More serious injury Hospitalisation?

Assault Public Officer

Serious:

Factors: theft of car Work role (health, Court)

Case Study

John, a 44 year-old man convicted of AOBH against his brother-in-law in late 2021. Both highly inebriated at the time – argument over 'being told what to do' (BBQ) – a chair used - bruising face and chest. Entered plea of Guilty and Remanded on Bail.

Personal details:

Witnessed some DV as a child; 4 x juvenile offences at age 17 – 2 x Disorderly, 1 x Common Assault, 1 no bike helmet; some fighting at school and young adult, but minimal since marrying at 26 – although becomes angry under the influence of alcohol – impacts relationship. 3 adult children. History of excessive drinking. Good work history; described as a 'loner'. Prior AOBH in 2017 after an argument and while inebriated. No counselling at that time or until currently.

You commenced counselling him 3 weeks after 2021 offence: seen him 8 times.

Focus of counselling: assist with:

- 1. distress he was experiencing guilt re offending
- 2. relationship/family dysfunction (exacerbated by offence)
- 3. understanding of offending behaviour

Case notes snapshot: good rapport; cooperative although frequently late – carries out all homework tasks; lowered self-esteem; generally acquiescent personality; DASS D score 16; good work ethic and history; alcohol = social confidence; abstinence since offending; highly remorseful.

His Solicitor has asked you to write a report / letter on his behalf outlining:

- 1. The counselling he has received
- 2. Your thoughts on what caused him to offend
- 3. Your thoughts on his risk of reoffending

Offender Risk Assessment

A generational approach

FIRST GENERATION

Professional Judgement

- Clinical
- Knowledge of client
- Clinician's experience

SECOND GENERATION

Actuarial

- Static historical risk factors
- Unchanging
- Reasonable predictive accuracy
- eg: Static-99R

THIRD GENERATION

Dynamic risk factors

- Dynamic = criminogenic
- Dynamic = risk/need
- eg: Stable-2007

FOURTH GENERATION

Structured Professional Judgement

Combines static & dynamic
 & case management plan

		STATIC 9	EET (201	6 VERSION)		
Offer	nder name:					
Date	of Birth:			TOMS/CBIS ID:		
	of Release from Index Sex			Date of A	Assessment:	
Offer	ice:			Name of	Assessor:	
Risk Factor		Codes		Score	Enter Score:	Comments, assumptions:
1	Age at release from index	Aged 18 to	34.9	1		
	sex offence	Aged 35 to	39.9	0		
		Aged 40 to		-1		
		Aged 60 or		-3		
2	Ever lived with a lover		with a lover for			
		at least two	years?	_		
		Yes		0		
		No		1		
3	Index non-sexual violence	No		0		
	- any convictions	Yes		1		
4	Prior non-sexual violence	No		0		
	- any convictions	Yes		1		
5	Prior sex offences	Charges	Convictions			
		0	0	0		
		1-2	1	1		
		3-5	2-3	2		
		6+	4+	3		
6	Four or more prior	3 or less		0		
	sentencing dates (excluding index)	4 or more		1		
7	Any convictions for non-	No		0		
	contact sex offences	Yes		1		
8	Any unrelated victims	No		0		
	,	Yes		1		
9	Any stranger victims	No		0		
	, ,	Yes		1		
10	Any male victims	No		0		
	,	Yes		1		
	TOTAL SCORE		scores from ind risk factors	lividual		

	Total	Risk Level
Nominal Risk	-3, -2	I – Very Low Risk
Levels	-1, 0	II – Below Average Risk
	1, 2, 3	III – Average Risk
(2016 Version)	4, 5	IVa – Above Average Risk
	6 and higher	IVb – Well Above Average Risk

There was/was not sufficient information available to complete the Static-99R score following the coding manual (2016 version). I believe that this score **fairly represents/does not fairly represent** the risk presented by at this time. Comments/Explanation:

STABLE-2007 - TALLY SHEET

Cllent Name:

Place of Scoring: Date of Scoring: Assessor: Steve Jobson

Scoring Item	Notes	Section Total						
Significant Social Influences		1 Otal						
Capacity for Relationship Stability								
Emotional ID with Children	(Only score this item for child molesters)							
Hostility Toward Women								
General Social Rejection								
Lack of Concern for Others								
Impulsive Acts								
Poor <u>Problem</u> Solving Skills								
Negative Emotionality								
Sex Drive Sex Preoccupation								
Sex as Coping								
Deviant Sexual Preference								
Cooperation with Supervision								
	Sum for Final Total	ra						
,	(Out of 24 for those without a child victim, i.e., younger than 14 years old)							
Deviant Sexual Interests in Poss 1) Is the offender in an age and								
of at least one year's duration	1) Is the offender in an age appropriate, consensual, sexual relationship of at least one year's duration while "at risk" in the community? Yes/No 2) Is there an absence of hehavioural indicators of Deviant Sexual Interest for two years? Yes/No							
-	wered "Yes" award a "-1" in this box and reduce the total score by one point							
	Interest score is greater than zero. been validated and does not count in the total score entered above. The							
adjusted score can be recorded f	or future empirical validation. However, the original unadjusted score							
should be reported and should b STATIC-2002R or other risk to	e used when combining the STABLE-2097 score with STATIC-99R, ools.							
Revised Total Taking "	Deviant Sexual Interests in Possible Remission" Into Account							

	Violence Risk Scale (VRS)* Treatment Assessment								
Off	ender's Name:								
DC	B:				D	ate/s of Assessment:			
ТО	MS/CBIS ID				N	ame of Assessor:			
Vio	lent Offences:								
	STATIC FACTORS	Stage	i	Score (or I/N) Include a detailed rationale for the scoring of each item, plus the rele information that led to that particular score being assigned. (Not adding comments to a previously completed form, insert the date first follow with comments e.g. 02/08/12 – comments)					
	Current age	Pre							
1	our one ago	Post							
2	Age at first violent	Pre							
۷	conviction	Post							
3	3 Number of juvenile								
_	convictions	Post							
4	Violence throughout	Pre							
	lifespan	Post							
5	Prior release	Pre							
J	failures/escapes	Post							
6	Stability of family	Pre							
٠	upbringing	Post							
		Pre							
To	tal Static Factor Score	Post							
	DYNAMIC FACTORS			Score (or I/N)	Stage of Change (PC, C, P, A, M) OR N/A	item, plus the releve particular score and (Note: if adding com	ant info stage of ments first and	e for the scoring of each formation that led to that of change being assigned. To a previously completed follow with comments e.g.	
1	Violent lifestyle		Pre						
i violeni illestyle			Post						

	DYNAMIC FACTORS	Stage	Score (or I/N)	Stage of Change (PC, C, P, A, M) OR N/A	Comments Include a detailed rationale for the scoring of each item, plus the relevant information that led to that particular score and stage of change being assigned. (Note: if adding comments to a previously completed form, insert the date first and follow with comments e.g. 02/08/12 – comments)
2		Pre			
	Criminal personality	Post			
3	Criminal attitudes	Pre			
Ŭ		Post			
4	Work ethic	Pre			
7	VVOIK GUILC	Post			
5		Pre			
3	Criminal peers	Post			
6		Pre			
Ü	Interpersonal aggression	Post			
7		Pre			
,	Emotional control	Post			
8		Pre			
0	Violence during incarceration	Post			
9	Weapon use	Pre			
5	vvcapori usc	Post			
10	Insight into violence	Pre			
10	insignt into violence	Post			
11	Mental illness	Pre			
"	Mental liness	Post			
12	Substance abuse	Pre			
12	ounsiance abuse	Post			
13	Stability of valationahina	Pre			
13	Stability of relationships	Post			
14	Community support	Pre			

	DYNAMIC FACTORS	Stage	Score (or I/N)	Stage of Change (PC, C, P, A, M) OR N/A	Comments Include a detailed rationale for the scoring of each item, plus the relevant information that led to that particular score and stage of change being assigned. (Note: if adding comments to a previously completed form, insert the date first and follow with comments e.g. 02/08/12 – comments)
		Post			
15	Released to high	Pre			
13	risk situations	Post			
16	Violence avale	Pre			
10	Violence cycle	Post			
17	Impulsivity	Pre			
17		Post			
18	Cognitive	Pre			
10	distortions	Post			
19	Compliance with	Pre			
13	supervision	Post			
20	Security level of release	Pre			
20	institution	Post			
Tot	Total Static + Total Dynamic				
Tot	al Static + Total Dynamic	Post			
Clin	nical override used (Y/N)?				

^{*} Copyright © 2000, Stephen Wong & Audrey Gordon

Case Study...

Your thoughts?

Case Study

John, a 44 year-old man convicted of AOBH against his brother-in-law in late 2021. Both highly inebriated at the time – argument over 'being told what to do' (BBQ) – a chair used - bruising face and chest. Entered plea of Guilty and Remanded on Bail.

Personal details:

Witnessed some DV as a child; 4 x juvenile offences at age 17 – 2 x Disorderly, 1 x Common Assault, 1 no bike helmet; some fighting at school and young adult, but minimal since marrying at 26 – although becomes angry under the influence of alcohol – impacts relationship. 3 adult children. History of excessive drinking. Good work history; described as a 'loner'. Prior AOBH in 2017 after an argument and while inebriated. No counselling at that time or until currently.

You commenced counselling him 3 weeks after 2021 offence: seen him 8 times.

Focus of counselling: assist with:

- 1. distress he was experiencing guilt re offending
- 2. relationship/family dysfunction (exacerbated by offence)
- 3. understanding of offending behaviour

Case notes snapshot: good rapport; cooperative although frequently late – carries out all homework tasks; lowered self-esteem; generally acquiescent personality; DASS D score 16; good work ethic and history; alcohol = social confidence; abstinence since offending; highly remorseful.

His Solicitor has asked you to write a report / letter on his behalf outlining:

- 1. The counselling he has received
- 2. Your thoughts on what caused him to offend
- 3. Your thoughts on his risk of reoffending

							ale (VRS)* essment	
Of	fender's Name:							
DO)B:		Date/s of Assessment:					
TC	MS/CBIS ID		Name of Assessor:					
Vic	olent Offences:	Offences:						
	STATIC FACTORS Stag		s. I	Score (or I/N) Score (or I/N) Comments Include a detailed rationale for the scoring of each item, plus the reinformation that led to that particular score being assigned. (No adding comments to a previously completed form, insert the date fit follow with comments e.g. 02/08/12 – comments)				
1	Current age	Pre	1					
'	-	Post						
2	Age at first violent	Pre	2					
_	conviction	Post						
3	Number of juvenile	Pre	3					
	convictions	Post						
4	Violence throughout	Pre	1					
•	lifespan	Post						
5	Prior release	Pre	0					
	failures/escapes	Post						
6	Stability of family	Pre	3					
•	upbringing	Post						
		Pre	10					
То	Total Static Factor Score Post							
	DYNAMIC FACTORS		Stage	(core (or /N)	Stage of Change (PC, C, P, A, M) OR N/A	item, plus the relev particular score and (Note: if adding com	Comments ationale for the scoring of each ant information that led to that stage of change being assigned. ments to a previously completed irst and follow with comments e.g.
1	Violent lifestyle		Pre	0				•
	The state of the s					I	İ	

Post

	DYNAMIC FACTORS	Stage	Score (or I/N)	Stage of Change (PC, C, P, A, M) OR N/A	Comments Include a detailed rationale for the scoring of each item, plus the relevant information that led to that particular score and stage of change being assigned. (Note: if adding comments to a previously completed form, insert the date first and follow with comments e.g. 02/08/12 – comments)
2	Ocionia al accessora Etc.	Pre	Ō		
	Criminal personality	Post			
3	Criminal attitudes	Pre	0		
		Post			
4	Work ethic	Pre	Ō		
7	WORK CUITC	Post			
_		Pre	Ō		
5	Criminal peers	Post			
e	6 Interpersonal aggression	Pre	2		under influence - monitor
0		Post			
7		Pre	2		suppressed? inappropriately expressed
,	Emotional control	Post			
8		Pre	omit		
0	Violence during incarceration	Post			
9	Moznon uzo	Pre	33		chair use
5	Weapon use	Post			
10	Insight into violence	Pre	2		some related to use of alcohol - little underpinning
10	insigni into violence	Post			
44	Montal illnoon	Pre	omit		
11	Mental illness	Post			
40	Cubatanas abusa	Pre	3		alcohol
12	Substance abuse	Post			
42	Stability of valationships	Pre	2		some prior dysfunction
13	Stability of relationships	Post			
14	Community support	Pre	2		only family

	DYNAMIC FACTORS	Stage	Score (or I/N)	Stage of Change (PC, C, P, A, M) OR N/A	Comments Include a detailed rationale for the scoring of each item, plus the relevant information that led to that particular score and stage of change being assigned. (Note: if adding comments to a previously completed form, insert the date first and follow with comments e.g. 02/08/12 – comments)
		Post			
15	Released to high	Pre	2		could identify few future plans and goals
15	risk situations	Post			
16	Violence augle	Pre	2		inebriation - inappropriate expression of anger
10	Violence cycle	Post			
47	Impulsivity	Pre	1		not normally - but when inebriated
17		Post			
18	Cognitive	Pre	1		remorseful
10	distortions	Post			
19	Compliance with	Pre	ō		
15	supervision	Post			
20	Security level of release	Pre	omit		
20	institution	Post			
Tota	Total Static + Total Dynamic Pre		32		36 pro rated - Medium - 24.6% violence; 44.7% general
Tota	al Static + Total Dynamic	Post			
Clin	ical override used (Y/N)?		N		

^{*} Copyright © 2000, Stephen Wong & Audrey Gordon

in Sync or life

Offending Risk Assessment

Key points:

- Understand and use correct terminology when writing / communicating
- Offenders to be sentenced will usually present favourably
- Be wary...VERY wary of using clinical judgement to determine risk
- Acknowledge when you don't know / aren't sure – ask for help